

July 7, 1915

OE-5

Mr. Walter Drew,  
C/o National Erectors' Association,  
286 Fifth Ave., New York, N. Y.

Dear Sir:

In further reference to yours of June 30th confirming exchange of telegrams, we have received and carefully read the testimony of the other side.

The writer was not only surprised but shocked to think that Mr. Macintyre, - who, we understand, attended the Hearings when this testimony was given, - failed to post us on the essential features of said testimony. Had he done this we would have been placed in position to either contradict, by interrogatories or by supplemental statement, the definite misrepresentations made by almost every witness. Of course, we could not expect much more from this class of people, - in fact, they were "framed up" to testify along these lines. The main thing that the writer has in his mind is, that with Mr. Macintyre's constant contact, had he told us from day to day the gist of the testimony, we would, undoubtedly, have been able to present overwhelming evidence to the contrary.

The other surprising thing is that Mr. Daly seemed to go out of his way to ask these people to repeat themselves in any possible manner to say things derogatory to the Company, not only as to its management but as to its general condition. The writer had a reasonably kindly feeling for Mr. Daly, from the personal contact he had with him, but since reading the testimony he does not feel so friendly, - in fact, feels that he led the witnesses unreasonably along the lines of testimony that would not have been brought out had it not been for his peculiar method of questioning, and approach - ing the subject.

We think it only fair to let you know of this, as the writer had indicated to Mr. Macintyre his idea, since the Commission had seen fit to dispense with Mr. Daley's services, that it might

-2- Mr. Walter Drew.

be well for some of the manufacturing associations to utilize his services in some satisfactory manner. The writer now wishes to say that he does not feel that way. Not that we fear any investigation, but we would like such investigation to carry with it a reasonable direction towards fairness. It is true that he gave our side latitude for the introduction of testimony, but in no case have we tried to "frame up" a witness, while, as already indicated, we believe the other side did all of this that they could.

Respectfully,